

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/628,568	07/29/2003	Kevin Baker	742441-2	4119	
22204 7590 01/19/2007 NIXON PEABODY, LLP 401 9TH STREET, NW SUITE 900 WASHINGTON, DC 20004-2128			EXAMINER		
			TRAN, HANH VAN		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3637	3637	
<u></u>					
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		01/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/628,568	BAKER, KEVIN		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Hanh V. Tran	3637		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>31 Oct</u> This action is FINAL 2b)⊠ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i> .	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro	i e		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or				
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the object that any objection to the object that any object to by the Examiner The oath or declaration is objected to be objec	epted or b) objected to by the lidrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/628,568 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/7/2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 1, (i) line 7, the limitation means for interconnecting "a plurality of assembled frame and panel components" is vague and indefinite for failing to clearly define whether these plurality of assembled frame and panel components are the same or different from the ones recited in the preceding lines; if they are the same and should applicant elected to amend the claimed language in order to obviate this rejection, then "a plurality of assembled frame and panel components" should be "said plurality of frame and panel components"; (ii) line 10, "channel of a frame component is inserted within a channel of another frame component" is vague and indefinite for failing to clearly define whether these frame components are the same or different from the ones recited in the preceding lines; if

Application/Control Number: 10/628,568

Art Unit: 3637

they are the same and should applicant elected to amend the claimed language in order to obviate this rejection, then "channel of a frame component is inserted within a channel of another frame component" should be "channel of one of said frame components is inserted within the channel of another one of said frame components". Claim 3, lines 3-4, the limitation of "the channel of a frame component is inserted within the channel of another frame component" is vague and indefinite for failing to clearly define whether these frame components are the same or different from the ones recited in the preceding lines; if they are the same and should applicant elected to amend the claimed language in order to obviate this rejection, then "the channel of a frame component is inserted within the channel of another frame component" should be "the channel of one of said frame components is inserted within the channel of another one of said frame components". Claim 4, (i) lines 3, "component" should be "components", (ii) line 6, "each of the plurality of panel components" should be "each of the plurality of frame and panel components". Claim 5, lines 2-3, "channel of a frame components with at least one aperture formed within a channel of another frame component" should be "channel of one of said frame and panel components with at least one aperture formed within channel of another one of said frame components".

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

Application/Control Number: 10/628,568 Page 4

Art Unit: 3637

5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USP 6,209,976 to Shear.

Shear discloses an interlocking component assembly comprising all the elements recited in the above listed claims including, such as shown in Fig 1, a plurality of integrally formed frame and panel components having a top frame and panel component 14, a bottom frame and panel component 16, and side frame and panel components 12, with each said frame extending about an entire periphery of a respective panel, each frame and panel component having a U-shaped channel formed along the entire periphery of said frame and panel component, wherein during assembly the channel of one of said frame and panel components is inserted within the channel of another one of said frame components to lock the components together along the length thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 7. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Art Unit: 3637

8. Claims 2-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shear in view of USP 5,360,263 to Nakano et al and USP 4,173,379 to van der Heiden et al.

Shear discloses all the elements as discussed above including at least one sub-component disposed in the assembly, with the sub-component is defined as a door or a door hinge mounting plate. The differences being that Shear does not disclose at least one locking tab disposed within the channel of each component, at least one aperture disposed within the channel of each component, such that during assembly the channel of a frame component is inserted within a channel of another frame component, the at least one locking tab is received within a corresponding aperture, and the method steps recited in claims 4-6.

Nakano et al teaches the idea of providing an interlocking component assembly, such as shown in Fig 1, comprising a plurality of integral frame and panel components P, each having a channel formed along the periphery of each frame component P, at least one locking tab 10 disposed within the channel of each component, and at least one aperture 20 disposed within the channel of each component, wherein during assembly the channel of a frame component is inserted within a channel of another frame component, the at least one locking tab 10 is received within a corresponding aperture to lock the components together along the length thereof without the need of employing additional attachment or locking means between the panel components (col. 1, lines 43-48). Van der Heiden et al also teaches the idea of providing an interlocking component assembly comprising a plurality of panels (3,5), each at least one channel

Art Unit: 3637

formed along the periphery, at least on locking tab 27 and at least one aperture 26 disposed within the channel. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the structure of Shear by providing at least one locking tab disposed within the channel of each component, and at least one aperture disposed within the channel of each component, such that during assembly, the channel of a frame component is inserted within a channel of another frame component, the at least one locking tab is received within a corresponding aperture to lock the components together along the length thereof without the need of employing additional attachment or locking means between the panel components, as taught by Nakano et al and van der Heiden et al, since the references teach alternate conventional interlocking component assembly, classified in the same U.S. Classification, thereby providing structure as claimed. In regard to the method claims, since Shear, as modified, teaches all the elements recited in said method claims, it is inherent that one skill in the art would be able to perform the steps recited in said claims.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Joffe, Murphy, and Bukaitz all show structures similar to various elements of applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/628,568 Page 7

Art Unit: 3637

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh V. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-6868. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, and alternate Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571) 272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

HVT

January 18, 2007

Hanh V. Tran

Art Unit 3637